IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JAMES DENNIS, : CIVIL ACTION

: NO. 18-2689

Plaintiff,

V. :

•

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, et al.

:

Defendants.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 15th day of May, 2019, upon consideration of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 5), Plaintiff's response in opposition (ECF No. 6), and the reply and sur-reply thereto (ECF Nos. 14, 15), and for the reasons set forth in the accompanying memorandum, it is hereby ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 5) is DENIED in part and GRANTED in part as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff's claims are neither barred by <u>Heck v.</u>

 <u>Humphrey</u>, 512 U.S. 477 (1994), nor the statute of limitations.
- 2. Defendant detectives are not entitled to qualified immunity at this time.
- 3. The City of Philadelphia is entitled to qualified immunity only insofar as Plaintiff's claim against it seeks recovery for Brady violations; the

remainder of the claim against the City will go forward.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Eduardo C. Robreno
EDUARDO C. ROBRENO, J.